V. CONCLUSION

In this proceeding, the Commission has a unique and perhaps final opportunity to create a competitive environment in Virginia that does not simply match the least that Verizon has to offer in its other states. OpenBand urges the Commission to draw from the experience and findings of other states, as well as its own experience and expertise, to foster a truly robust and competitive market in Virginia as Congress contemplated in creating the Act and the 271 checklist process.

Respectfully submitted,

Lawrence R. Freedman

James M. Moskowitz

Fleischman & Walsh, LLP (1400/Sixteenth Street, N.W.

Suite 600

Washington, DC 20036

(202) 929-7923

(202) 588-0095 (fax)

Counsel for OpenBand of Virginia, LLC

ATTACHMENT A

Selected Portions of Virginia 271 Hearing Official Transcript

June 17, 2002

ATTACHMENT B

Brief of OpenBand of Virginia, L.L.C.

Before the VSCC

in the

VA 271 Proceeding

ATTACHMENT C

Hearing Examiner's Ruling

ATTACHMENT D

Selected Portions of TX Arbitration Order

ATTACHMENT E

Dinan Letter Re Maine 271 Conditions

ATTACHMENT F

Selected Portions of Verizon Maine Tariff

ATTACHMENT G

Selected Portions of Verizon Massachusetts Tariff

• .

PUC-	20	0	2.	-0	0	0	4	6

VIRGINIA:

BEFORE THE STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION

EXCERPTS

IN THE MATTER OF

VERIZON VIRGINIA INC.'s compliance with the conditions set forth in 47 U.S.C. Section 271(c)

- Hearing Examiner -

DATES TAKEN

June 17, 2001

j	
1	MR. SMITH: I believe we have, yes.
2	HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.
3	That's all I have. Do you have any
4	redirect?
5	MS. PULLEY: I do not have any redirect.
6	HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. You may be
7	excused.
8	MR. D'AMICO: Thank you.
9	MR. SMITH: Thank you.
10	* * * *
11	(Witness stood aside.)
12	
13	MS. PULLEY: Your Honor, may I have just
14	one moment?
15	HEARING EXAMINER: Sure.
16	(There was a pause in the proceedings.)
17	MS. PULLEY: Your Honor, Verizon does
18	call its transport panel, which is item number 5. The
19	witnesses are Don Albert, Susan Fox, Alice Shockett,
20	and Jeff Boichot.
21	
22	
23	DONALD E. ALBERT, SUSAN FOX, ALICE
24	SHOCKETT, and JEFFREY L. BOICHOT, the Transport Panel,
25	having been previously duly sworn, testify as follows,

1	viz:
2	HEARING EXAMINER: Has everyone been
3	sworn on this panel?
4	MR. BOICHOT: Yes.
5	MS. PULLEY: Yes, Your Honor, everyone
6	has appeared on a previous panel.
7	HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
8	MR. SMITH: This is the panel for
9	checklist item 5. All the members of the panel have
10	previously appeared and been sworn in.
11	
12	EXAMINATION
13	BY MR. SMITH:
14	Q. I would like to ask the panel members
15	with respect to checklist item 5, am I correct in
16	stating that that checklist item was prepared by Mr.
17	Albert, Margaret Detch, Susan Fox and Alice Shockett?
18	Is that correct? No, that's not correct. Excuse me.
19	Strike that.
20	This checklist item was prepared by Mr.
21	Albert, Ms. Fox. Ms. Shockett is appearing on behalf
22	of Ms. Detch for the direct testimony. Is that
23	correct?
24	A. (Shockett) Yes, that's correct.
25	Q. And Mr. Boichot is appearing for Ms.

```
Gilligan?
 1
           Α.
                  (Boichot) That's correct.
 3
           Q.
                  Okay. Having straightened that out,
 4
     referring to the exhibit marked Exhibit 1, is your
 5
     direct testimony on this checklist item, paragraphs
     208 through 224, including the attachments referenced
 6
 7
     in those paragraphs? Is that your direct testimony?
                  (Collective) Yes.
 8
           Α.
                 And referring to the exhibits marked 8
 9
     and 9P, is your reply testimony paragraphs 141 through
10
     161, including the attachments referenced within those
11
12
     paragraphs?
                  (Collective) Yes.
13
           Α.
                  Thank you.
14
           Q.
                  Are there any additions or corrections to
15
16
     those tests?
                  (Boichot) I have none.
17
           Α.
                  (Shockett) I have none.
18
                  (Albert) No.
19
20
                  (Fox) No.
                  Do you adopt that as your reply
           0.
21
     testimony?
22
23
           Α.
                  (Collective) Yes.
24
                  MR. SMITH: The witnesses are available
25
     for cross-examination.
```

MR. SHOER: On behalf of Cavalier, Mr. 1 Perkins has some questions for this panel. 2 3 4 EXAMINATION BY MR. PERKINS: 5 Q. Good afternoon. I'd like to start with 6 7 dark fiber maps and information. It's true, isn't it, that Verizon stated in paragraph 147 of its reply 8 checklist declaration that Verizon makes dark fiber 9 information available in Virginia on the same basis as 10 in Pennsylvania? Is that correct? 11 (Shockett) Yes, it is. 12 Α. Okay. Do you know if that's on the same 13 0. basis as in Maryland? 14 Yes, it is. 15 Α. Isn't it true that Verizon has not made 16 0. overview maps of dark fiber arrangements available to 17 Cavalier for Pennsylvania or Virginia? 18 What do you mean by "overview" maps? 19 Α. By "overview" maps, I mean a broader map 20 Ο. showing conductivity of dark fiber between central 21 offices and not solely showing office A, B and C. Ιn 22 other words, there's dark fiber on a local basis. I'm 2.3

A. (Albert) Let me take that one.

talking about a broader area?

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

1.8

19 2.0

21

22

23

24

25

You know, what we have is a standard offering in Virginia, and it's the same as Pennsylvania.

There are three different things that we do to make dark fiber planning and engineering information available to CLECs. We've got the inquiry process where a CLEC can request information on any particular points. We have the field survey, which is a little bit more detailed and where Verizon techniques will actually take transmission readings of particular fiber circuits, and then we have wire center area maps, which are available on a time and materials basis.

What those are composed of would be the geography of an entire wire center and then showing street level detail. Those maps would show the particular streets the fiber-optic cables happen to run down.

So, that's kind of -- or located, fiber-optic cables are located on. That would be within the entire wire center area.

So, that's the basics of what we do.

Now, in working with CLECs, when there are different unique needs, you know, we will try and do different unique things to satisfy those needs.

example would be like with Cavalier where we have had the large builds, very large builds, recently, that you guys are working on in the Washington and Baltimore area. There are several different types of information I have provided to you guys there that I thought pretty much fit the bill for what you needed, and if that does fit the bill, you know, as you do similar other large builds we'll continue to provide that sort of thing.

1.3

2.1

2.3

2.4

But those types of information -- that was e-mails requesting the E and Z span of a particular cable or even just hand-drawn stick-figured diagrams.

so, the stuff we did recently working in the Washington Metropolitan area and the Baltimore area, although that's not required as part of the checklist, and although that part is not what we have in our interconnection agreements, if that takes care and helps you out we'll continue to do that type of work with you, too.

Q. Well, isn't it true, Mr. Albert, though, that actually you did provide a sort of overview map of the type I'm describing for Maryland, but no map of that type has been made available in Virginia? I think you called it a stick-figure map.

- Metropolitan I provided similar -- I think in one case I had a power point for part of the Washington metropolitan area. The stuff for Baltimore I had hand drawn. But I thought, yeah, for both Washington metropolitan, as well as Baltimore, I provided stuff
 - But that wasn't Virginia, was it?
- Northern Virginia, yeah, the DC offices and Maryland suburban. But, I mean, if that type of stuff works, you know, if what I did with Baltimore -if that works, you know, we'll do that as you're doing
- I'm told it does, so maybe we should talk
- Okav. No, I mean Mr. Ashenden is sitting next to you, and I got the impression that the stuff fit the bill for what he needed, and if it does, and, you know, if we do similar large builds with the other places, we'll do the same thing, if that helps.
- In fact, we've all sat together before, haven't we, Mr. Albert, Mr. Ashenden and you and I?
 - Α. Oh, yeah.

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Isn't it true when we were sitting together at the FCC in connection with a complaint that Cavalier asked be put on the FCC's accelerated docket that Verizon claimed that dark fiber could not be preserved in a way that Cavalier had requested?

1.8

2.1

I can explain that, if you would like, a little bit more. I'm referring to the fact that Cavalier had placed dark fiber inquiries, gotten answers back, then had to augment its COs with fiber panels and found when the augment period was passed and the augment was done that the dark fiber was no longer available and Cavalier had decided to reserve the dark fiber. Do you recall that?

A. Generally. Reserve is a bit of a broad, ill-defined terminology. Some people will use the reserved to be something more akin to they want to be able to put in an order for a gizmo, you know, be that an unbundled gizmo or a special access gizmo, but they like to be able to put in an order without paying for it. That's one variation of reserve.

when we're -- I think on the topic that you're talking about, and as we're dealing with it relating to dark fiber, there's one fundamental premise, really, that applies to every service that Verizon provides, not only to CLECs but also to other carriers and also to end-users, and what that fundamental premise is, that we cannot accept and

process and provision an order for a service until a physical place exists for us to connect our lines to.

So, our assignment systems, our provisioning systems, not only for dark fiber but for unbundled loops and for unbundled transport and for plain old basic dial tone -- if there is not a physical point that exists for us to be able to connect our lines to, we cannot at that point take ahead of that an order for that particular service.

Now, where that basic building block fundamental approach -- how that fits into dark fiber is, what that means previously was that you would have to have a collocation arrangement built and existing in a Verizon central office in order for us to be able to take and to work and to provision a dark fiber order that would be connected to that collocation arrangement.

So --

- Q. Can I ask you one quick question before you get past this one?
 - A. Okay.
- Q. By collocation arrangement, do you mean a collocation arrangement horizontal, do you mean one with a fiber panel already there?
 - A. If we're talking about dark fiber --

1 Q. Yes.

A. -- that would be a collocation arrangement that would have a fiber termination or a fiber panel.

So, in order for us to process an order, there needs to be a termination that exists that we can connect the appropriate type of facility to. So, if you're ordering dark fiber, you need to have termination panels for fiber optics. If you're ordering a DS3 you need to have termination facilities for co-ax cables. If you're ordering a plain vanilla unbundled loop, you need to have termination panels for two-wire type of service.

So, basically, since the dawn of time, for all of our services, for all customers, including CLECs and including carriers, we've had a process in place that before any of those classes of customers can place orders, you know, we need to physically have something existing to connect it to.

Now, what we are doing with Cavalier now in Virginia and in DC and in Maryland is the same type of trial, what we call parallel provisioning, which we also did with each other in Pennsylvania.

Now, what the parallel provisioning means as it relates specifically to dark fiber and to

collocation is that we will, in the same approximate time frame as when you submit a collocation application, we then also will take and begin the processing and the provisioning for a dark fiber circuit that would be terminated into that collocation arrangement.

1.0

1.7

2.5

So, the parallel aspect of what I've labeled as parallel provisioning -- what that really relates to is that the same period of time as we are building our collocation arrangement, so that the CLEC will have physical facilities that will exist, so that we can actually then connect our dark fibers that we're providing to you up to those physical facilities -- what we'll do with parallel provisioning is, just shortly after accepting your collocation application, you can then put in a dark fiber order.

And what we're -- trialing is part of the trial, and there are a whole boatload of them that we're doing up in the Washington metropolitan, but primarily Baltimore area, and what we're doing there is, for the particular systems that we have and the systems for the former Chesapeake and Potomac companies, the assignment in the inventory systems, the flavor of those is a little bit different than the flavors that we had in Pennsylvania. But for the



2.2

former C&P of Virginia, C&P of Maryland, C&P of West Virginia, C&P of DC, the trial that we are now doing with you guys for the parallel provisioning of dark fiber and collocation will work through with the primarily TIRK as many as it exists in the four C&P former states, and we will try and make the modifications and the process changes so that in fact when you give us that early order, you know, shortly after your collocation application, we'll try and get the system changes completed and the process changes in place to enable them to use parallel provisioning so you can right at the head end of the collocation process submit your dark fiber orders that will provision.

I do not see any reasons why the trial work will be unsuccessful. It's just a matter of getting the processes, the procedures and the systems tweaks worked through that would work for Virginia, Maryland and for DC. And once we do that, then, you know, off to the races, add it to the interconnection agreement, and that will exist for the parallel provisions of interoffice facility, dark fiber and collocation.

Q. Isn't it true that Cavalier had requested that type of arrangement since shortly after Verizon

made dark fiber available for the first time back
in -- about two years ago, June/July time frame in
2000?

- A. It's possible. I don't remember the time frame.
- Q. Well, isn't it true that Cavalier objected to Verizon's 271 application in Pennsylvania because of the inability to do exactly this type of parallel provisioning?
- A. Yeah, and in Pennsylvania, as we are doing in Virginia now, we also had a trial that we worked through there to get work through with the TIRK as many as it exists in Pennsylvania, as well as the processes and procedures in Pennsylvania. We did work through with Cavalier really at the same time the 271 work was going on. Actually, the trial itself started as an outgrowth from the very first Pennsylvania 271 --
- Q. Isn't it true that Cavalier waived its objection on the basis of Verizon waiving its --
 - A. I'm not sure of the legal term --
 - I didn't ask you for a legal conclusion.
- A. But that was the resolution of that particular issue. What we had to do was we launched off and were doing the trial work, and we put together



1.5

2.1

a report at the end of it that -- well, I guess not at the end. It was a status report in September, either September or August of 2001 -- I can't remember -- but a status report that we provided to the Pennsylvania Commission.

You know, since then the trial is completed, it's been added to our interconnection agreements, and in Pennsylvania today you can order that. Now, that is the only state where we currently have an interconnection agreement with the carrier doing the parallel provisioning of dark fiber and collocation.

- Q. It is correct at the time of the 271 proceeding, Cavalier was the only CLEC in Pennsylvania that had tried to order dark fiber from Verizon?
- A. I don't think so. We had others. Cavalier was not the only CLEC in Pennsylvania ordering dark fiber in the 271 time frames.
- Q. Well, isn't it true that Cavalier has continued to request that type of parallel provisioning process in Virginia and the other states since the Pennsylvania 271 proceeding?
- A. Yes, and I think that's what basically drove getting the trial agreements put together, which are now in place and exist for doing some work in DC

as well as in Maryland, as well as in Virginia. I think you-all have submitted orders. There was a job aid I sent over that we tweaked a little bit for the Virginia trial. I think about the third week in May.

Я

so, I mean, we were right in the throes now, as we speak, trying to work through it and trying to develop the stuff so we can similarly enshrine it for Virginia, Maryland and DC.

- Q. So, that process was developed in Pennsylvania during the 271 proceeding that was developed in Virginia last month, one month before the 271 proceeding in this matter?
- A. I'm not sure what dates the trial agreements were signed, but they were floating around in April -- as a matter of fact, I don't even know if they've been finally signed. Talking back and forth with Mr. Ashenden next to you, we said, okay, we don't have the final signatures on line, but we'll get stuff rolling --
- Q. That's only been in the month or two, correct?
- A. Yeah, I saw unsigned agreements as of April that were still being put back and forth between the parties for doing the trials.
 - Q. Even though the Pennsylvania trials were